



Empowered lives.
Resilient nations.

United Nations Development Programme

BACK TO OFFICE REPORT (BTOR)

Submitted by: Sergio Julane

Title: M&E Specialist

Approved by:

Title:

Date Submitted: 12 October 2022

Mission Objective: Participation of the MRF team in the technical level discussions on recovery and reconstruction, taking place on 6 and 7 October in Maputo.

TYPE OF MISSION:

• The Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Water Resources (MOPHRH) - through GREPOC; UNDP and with the support from the partners that provided technical assistance for the development of the PDNA and the DRF – are organizing a high-level event on recovery to take stock of the progress, challenges and lessons learned from the implementation of the DRF and to discuss and draw recommendations to maximize the impact and sustainability of the recovery interventions bridging in to long term resilient development.

TEAM MEMBERS

UNDP-Eunice Mucache (Head of Environment Unit); Manuela Muianga (); Eunice Taibo (); Hafido (ICT Specialist); Sari Nasereddin; Rebecca Navega (Communication Specialist) and Sergio Julane (M&E Specialist).
GREPOC and Partners.

Agenda:

Dia 06/10/22		
Horários	Tópico	Orador/Moderador
08:00- 09:00	Registo e Café	
09:00 - 09:20	<u>Sessão de abertura:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Apresentação dos Participantes e os Objectivos do Workshop (10 min)• Notas de Intervenção dos Parceiros (UNDP)• Notas de Abertura por S.exa o Ministro Carlos Mesquita (MOPHRH) (10 min)	GREPOC: Mestre de Cerimonia – Co-Facilitador
09:20 – 09:25	Foto de Família e Retirada de S.exa o Ministro Carlos Mesquita	GREPOC: Mestre de Cerimonia – Co-Facilitador
09:25 – 09:45	Café	GREPOC: Mestre de Cerimonia – Co-Facilitador
09:45 – 10:00	Apresentação da Agenda	GREPOC: Mestre de Cerimonia – Co-Facilitador

10:00 – 13:00	<p>Session 1 (3h)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Balanço da implementação do PREPOC: <i>Qual é o progresso, obstáculos e lições aprendidas na implementação das actividades de recuperação e compromissos financeiros para maximizar o impacto e a sustentabilidade das intervenções de recuperação?</i> <p>Notas de intervenção do Facilitador (5 min)</p> <p>1. Apresentação: (20 min.) – GREPOC – Relatório da Implementação do PREPOC</p> <p>Debate (15 min)</p> <p>2. Trabalhos de Grupo– 4 Grupos - (60 min)</p> <p>Grupo 1 (Serviços Prov de Economia e Finas de Sofala)</p> <p>Grupo 2 (PNUD- MRF)</p> <p>Grupo 3 - (Serviços Prov de Economia e Finas de Cabo delgado)</p> <p>Grupo 4 (Coordenado Regional Centro do GREPOC)</p> <p>3. Apresentação dos Trabalhos em Plenária - 40 min</p> <p>4. Debate orientado pelo Facilitador (20 min)</p> <p>5. Sumário do Facilitador: Passar a Mensagem e recomendações chaves do Debate (20 min)</p>	<p>Facilitador: Ricardo Zapata</p> <p>Co-Facilitador: Nádia Adrião(GREPOC)</p>
13:00 – 14:00	Almoço	GREPOC: Mestre de Cerimonia – Co-Facilitador
14:00 – 16:50	<p>Sessão 2 (2h50min)</p> <p>Opções técnicas para reconstrução resiliente e investimentos em desenvolvimento: <i>Exemplos de boas opções para ampliar os padrões e evitar construções insustentáveis em áreas de risco de desastre</i></p> <p>Panel discussion</p> <p>Panelist 1: <i>Professor Luís Lage (10 min)</i></p> <p>Panelist 2: UNHABITAT (10 Mins)</p> <p>Panelist 3: JICA (Apresentação (10 Mins)</p> <p>1. Trabalhos de Grupo– 2 Grupos - (60 min)</p> <p>G1- Moderador – UNHABITAT</p> <p>G2- Moderador – JICA</p> <p>G3- Moderador – INGD</p> <p>G4- Moderador – FFH</p> <p>2. Apresentação dos Trabalhos em Plenária - 40 min</p> <p>3. Debate orientado pelo Facilitador (20 min)</p> <p>4. Sumário Facilitador: Passar a Mensagem e recomendações chaves do Debate (20 min)</p>	<p>Facilitador: Ricardo Zapata</p> <p>Co-Facilitador: Nádia Adrião(GREPOC)</p>
16:50-17:00	Notas de conclusão dos trabalhos do Dia 1	Director Executivo do GREPOC
17:00	FIM dos trabalhos do Dia 1 e Café	Mestre de Cerinónia
Dia 07/10/22		
Horário	Tópico	Orador/Moderador
08:30- 09:00	Registo de Participantes	GREPOC: Mestre de Cerimonia – Co-Facilitador

09:00 – 09:10	Apresentação da Agenda	
09:10-09.20	Apresentação da Síntese do dia anterior	Facilitador
09:20 – 09:50	Debate	Facilitador
09:50-13.10	<p>Sessão 3 (3h20 min)</p> <p>Opções políticas e institucionais para garantir a realização e sustentabilidade das intervenções de recuperação no longo prazo, em sinergia com as abordagens governamentais para reduzir vulnerabilidades e riscos e fortalecer a resiliência e sustentabilidade dos investimentos</p> <p>Intervenção do Facilitador (5 min)</p> <p>1. Apresentação de Painelistas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Apresentação : (15 min.) – GREPOC – Arranjos Institucionais da Reconstrução em Moçambique • Apresentação : (15 min.) -Painelista Internacional – Experiências Internacionais de Reconstrução <p>2. Debate – 20 min (Facilitador)</p> <p>3. Trabalhos de Grupo– 4 Grupos - (60 min)</p> <p>G1- Moderador – INAM</p> <p>G2- Moderador – MEF</p> <p>G3- Moderador – MTA</p> <p>G4- Moderador – Município da Beira</p> <p>4. Apresentação dos Trabalhos de Grupo- 40 Min</p> <p>5. Debate orientado pelo Facilitador (20 min)</p> <p>6. Sumário do Facilitador: Passar a Mensagem e recomendações chaves do Debate (10 min)</p>	<p>Facilitador: Ricardo Zapata</p> <p>Co-Facilitador: Nádria Adrião(GREPOC)</p>
13.10-14.10	Almoço	GREPOC: Mestre de Cerimonia – Co-Facilitador
14.10 – 14.40	Wrap-up e comentários (dia 1 and dia 2)	Facilitador
14:40 - 15:00	Conclusões, Principais Recomendações e Acções de Seguimento	Director Executivo do GREPOC

1. Summary of The Mission:

This meeting served to prepare elements for discussion at the high-level meeting to be held on 27 and 28 in Sofala Beira City.

In summary the main objective of the event was to:

- Take stock of the relevance of the ongoing interventions and progress towards the implementation of the Disaster Recovery Framework (2019-2023).
- Identify challenges and options for maximizing the impact and sustainability of recovery interventions, as well as for accelerating reconstruction efforts. Issues of community participation and conflict sensitivity was specifically addressed
- Discuss policy and institutional options to ensure accomplishment and sustainability of recovery interventions in the long run, in synergy with government approaches to reduce vulnerabilities and risks and to strengthen resilience and sustainability of the investments. Analyze how coordination has been across institutions dealing with the physical and infrastructural reconstruction, human impact recovery and conflict resolution and social inclusion.
- Develop recommendations for adjustments to the Disaster Recovery Framework and other development frameworks and initiatives to address vulnerabilities and to reduce disaster risks in face of the impacts of recurrent extreme climate events.

The technical discussion meeting that took place between 6 and 7 October was facilitated by Nadia Adriano from GREPOC and had as main moderator the international consultant Ricardo Zapata tasked to moderate and summarize the discussions.

The meeting was opened by the Vice-Minister of Public Works, Housing and Water Resources (MOPHRH) (Cecilia Chamutota) accompanied by UNDP senior economist Alex Rodrigues who also made the opening speech.

The meeting was attended by more than 80 participants, representing government sectors, international experts and representatives of the World Bank, European Union, United Nations and African Development Bank, national and international NGOs and relevant partners contributing to the implementation of the DRF.

The technical meeting in terms of methodology consisted of the presentation and discussion of three main topics. Each topic was preceded by thematic presentations and followed by group work and discussion.

The three sessions and main questions

Session 1: Stocktaking of the implementation of the Disaster Recovery Framework (DRF): What is the progress, hindrances and lessons learned on the implementation of recovery activities and financial commitments to maximize the impact and sustainability of recovery interventions?

The session compared the status of implementation of the activities and financial commitments with achieved results and made reflections on adjustments and investments in the long-term.

It was proposed that recovery activities should be addressed separately in terms of physical reconstruction, and economic and social recovery, as well as identifying the differential recovery of vulnerable groups and whether such recovery activities were gender sensitive, and socially inclusive and participatory.

The session provided a full picture of the status of financing and implementation of the DRF, as well as an overview of good practices and challenges already identified, mid-way through the implementation of the DRF.

Guiding questions for presentations, panel discussions and working groups

- What is the status of implementation of the DRF? What are the key challenges and lessons learned on the implementation of activities?
- What is the current level of financing of the DRF, following commitments and pledges made at the pledging conference in Beira in support of the DRF?
- What can be learned and adjusted for the remaining duration of the implementation of the DRF to accelerate reconstruction and address the remaining needs?
- What lessons can be drawn, and adjustments made considering the recurring climatic events, displacements and destruction since the DRF was defined?
- What are the key lessons and challenges to maximize the impact and sustainability of recovery interventions in the long run?

Session 2: Technical options for resilient reconstruction and development investments: Examples of good options to scale up the standards and avoid unsustainable construction in areas at risk of disasters.

Building on the implementation of the DRF, this session identified options of good practices and norms to promote resilient recovery from disaster and displacement. The session identified existing measures and plans for adopting standards to resilient construction of public and community infrastructures.

The discussion highlighted the challenges and government plan to ensure compliance with construction standards, as well as existing gaps to finance recovery for sustainability of investments in areas at risk in the long term.

Guiding questions for presentations, panel discussions and working groups:

- What are the options to scale up the standards and avoid unsustainable construction in areas at risk of disasters?
- What are examples of good practices and tools to be used to guide recovery, reduce risks, and inform development investments?
- What are the hindrances for compliance with the use of appropriate resilience standards for construction or rehabilitation of public and community infrastructure?
- How have reconstruction processes been linked to the human impact recovery of the affected population and communities?
- Have inclusion and community participation been a clear objective in the recovery?
- What can be done for an effective implementation of Build Back Better principles?
- What financial implications and mechanisms can be thought through to enable meeting the needs on the ground?

Session 3: Institutional arrangements for recovery, reconstruction, and resilience building: How to make recovery, reconstruction and resilience building a core and cross-cutting government function for long-term development, in one of the most vulnerable countries to climate change in the world and in the African continent?

The session discussed the recent occurrence of extreme weather events in Mozambique and forecasts, to establish a basis for discussion on the future of reconstruction in Mozambique and what is the best implementation approach.

The discussion highlighted the options for sustainable institutional set-up to enable a sound exit strategy for the DRF and to ensure recovery and reconstruction remain a core function of the State and its administration, ensuring cross-cutting and long-term capacities are in place.

The session discussed options to ensure that recovery, reconstruction, and resilience building are also front and centre in the development agenda across relevant sectors.

The proposed principle for institutional arrangements is that they should address the issues of overlapping responsibilities among institutions, appropriate participation of local and community representation in terms of de-centralization (or resources and implementation) and de-concentration (of authority and decision making from central to local and community). Also, to be addressed the institutional responsibility in the face of different effects of disasters (economic recovery, social inclusion, conflict resolution, geographical coverage, etc.)

Guiding questions for presentations, panel discussions and working groups:

- What are the current institutional arrangements for recovery and reconstruction and how sustainable are they? What are the current capacities to ensure sustainable leadership and coordination over the recovery and reconstruction agenda? Sustainability is to be seen as not only durable over time but appropriated by the communities, their representation and participation.
- What are the levels of preparation of the country to respond to the need for recovery and reconstruction in face of the evidence presented? What is the best approach to be adopted? Given the recurrent and “cyclical” nature of disasters (cyclones, droughts, etc.) preparation should be seen as encompassing appropriate prevention (from the civil defence perspective of training, materials, positioning for rapid response) as well as DRR and BBB
- How can recovery and reconstruction priorities be sustainably and coherently linked to disaster risk reduction and climate change related policies?
- What are the existing policy and institutional arrangements and appropriate measures needed to enhance resilience to future disasters?
- How do we ensure institutions come together around the topic of recovery and reconstruction?
- How can we ensure implementation of key strategies for disaster risk reduction and recovery (ex. DRM Master Plan)?

See Annex 1 the result of Group discussion.

FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS:

- Document the summary of discussions and main recommendations in a report to inform the high-level meeting.
- Organize all logistics for the high-level meeting that will be a two-days event, chaired by the Prime minister taking place in Beira on October to be attended by 200 participants (100 participants presential and 100 on-line).

5. PHOTO OF THE EVENT:

